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INTRODUCTION

According to Michigan’s Act 51 (P.A. 499 in 2002 and P.A. 199 in 2007), each local road agency must annually report the mileage and condition of the road and bridge system under their jurisdiction to the Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council (TAMC). To fulfill the requirement of this Act, the TAMC sets policies each year for road condition data collection and submission by road-owning agencies in Michigan.

The Michigan TAMC has adopted the Pavement Surface Evaluation and Rating (PASER) system for measuring conditions of paved roads in Michigan and the Inventory-based Rating (IBR) System™ for unpaved roads. The PASER system, developed by the University of Wisconsin Transportation Information Center, is a visual survey method that provides a simple, efficient, and consistent method for evaluating the condition of paved roads. The IBR System™, developed by the Center for Technology & Training (CTT) through the support of the TAMC, provides a stable and implementable assessment method for unpaved roads (see the Inventory-based Rating System™ Manual for more information).

Part of the TAMC’s mission is to obtain accurate road condition data in order to provide a clear view of the overall condition of Michigan’s road network. The TAMC uses these ratings to communicate the condition of Michigan roads to the Michigan Legislature. At the local level, this data serves as a foundation upon which local agencies can build cost-effective pavement maintenance strategies.

The TAMC chose Roadsoft—a roadway management system for collecting, storing and analyzing data—for use in storing this road condition data and advancing its statewide pavement rating collection strategy. Roadsoft is funded through the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) and developed, supported, and distributed by Michigan Technological University’s Center for Technology & Training.

This manual describes the requirements and processes involved in collecting condition data for the TAMC. The TAMC works in conjunction with Michigan’s local agencies as well as with its planning organizations (POs)—both regional and metropolitan (RPO and MPO, respectively)—to collect condition data. Although these POs operate under many different names and serve a variety of different areas, they all participate in coordinating and performing data collection for the TAMC. This manual details the tools and procedures for collecting road condition data. It also includes information on how to split segments, rate sealcoats, and double-check collected condition data in Roadsoft.
DATA COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS & GUIDELINES
TAMC DATA COLLECTION REGULATIONS

According to Michigan’s Public Act 51 (P.A. 499 in 2002 and P.A. 199 in 2007), each local road agency must annually report the mileage and condition of the road and bridge system under their jurisdiction to the Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council (TAMC). This policy applies to two road network categories:

- Federal-aid-eligible paved public roads and streets, which should be evaluated using the PASER system, and unpaved roads and streets, which should be evaluated using the IBR System™
- Non-Federal-aid-eligible paved public roads and streets, which should be evaluated using the PASER system, and unpaved roads and streets, which should be evaluated using the IBR System™.

Road condition rating is eligible for reimbursement from the TAMC if the required training is attended and proper documentation is submitted at the end of the collection process (see Data Collection Procedures section for details).

Roads that Must be Rated

In a two-year cycle, all of an agency’s Federal-aid-eligible roads must be rated using the PASER system for paved roads and the IBR System™ for unpaved roads. Each rated road requires four categories of data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Parameter Category</th>
<th>How Parameter is Evaluated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface type</td>
<td>Asphalt, concrete, sealcoat, composite, brick, unpaved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASER or IBR score</td>
<td>PASER: 1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBR: G, F, P on width, drainage, structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of lanes</td>
<td>Number of through lanes and continuous left-turn lanes only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crew</td>
<td>Crew members’ names (first and last name)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definition of “Federal-aid Eligible”

According to Title 23 of the United States Code¹, Federal-aid-eligible roads are “highways on the Federal-aid highway systems and all other public roads not classified as local roads or rural minor collectors.” This definition can be stated in terms of national functional classification (NFC), where the NFC is 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 for rural/urban or 6 for urban only where one or both sides of the road are on or within an urban boundary (RU_L > 1 or RU_R > 1). NFC codes are defined as:

---

1 – Interstates
2 – Other freeways
3 – Other principal arterials
4 – Minor arterials
5 – Major collectors
6 – Minor collectors
7 - Local
0 or uncoded – not a certified public road

RU_L | 1 Rural/urban designation left
RU_R | 1 Rural/urban designation right

1 – Rural area
2 – Small urban area (5,000 to 49,999)
3 – Small urbanized area (50,000 to 199,999)
4 – Large urbanized area (200,000 or more)

For Federal-aid data collection, the council collects condition data based on the above definition of Federal-aid eligible so you will not be collecting condition data on rural minor collectors.

Using the Roadsoft query of “Federal Aid = True” will take this change into account and give you the correct network conforming to the current definition.

**TYPES OF ROADS**

**Asphalt**

Hot-mix asphalt (HMA) is a pavement type with the top structural layer being HMA. Generally, a structural hot-mix asphalt layer has a thickness of 1.5 inches or more. This pavement should be rated using the rating system outlined in the *Asphalt PASER Manual* and the *Michigan-specific Asphalt Road Rating Guide* on page 7.

Composite pavements should be rated with the asphalt rating system but should be inventoried as a composite pavement. A composite pavement is an old concrete pavement that has an asphalt overlay.

A chip seal (or a sealcoat) on top of an asphalt pavement should also be rated with the asphalt rating system. This type of pavement is not considered a sealcoat pavement because the asphalt below is considered the structural layer.

**Concrete**

A concrete pavement is a pavement composed of a riding surface of concrete. This pavement should be rated using the rating system outlined in the *Concrete PASER Manual* and the *Michigan-specific Concrete Road Rating Guide* on page 8.

**Sealcoat**

A sealcoat pavement is an unpaved road with a sealcoat (chip seal) surface treatment. There is no full-width structural layer of asphalt in a sealcoat pavement. This pavement should be rated with the modified Michigan sealcoat rating system, which uses a 1-to-10 scale. This pavement should be rated using the rating system outlined in the *Michigan-specific Sealcoat Road Rating Guide*. 
on page 9 with additional information provided by the cracking and rutting images in Sealcoat PASER Manual.

Brick

The rating scale in the Brick and Block PASER Manual is 1-2-3-4. To be consistent with other pavement rating scales, the brick and block scale must be doubled resulting in 2, 4, 6, and 8 as ratings while maintaining the original definitions from the manual. A rating of 10 is reserved for brick and block pavements that are in “like new” condition and less than one-year old.

Unpaved

An unpaved road has a gravel, dirt, or other surface that is often characterized by a rapidly changing condition. This type of road is evaluated using the Inventory-based Rating System™ for Gravel Roads Training Manual.

EVALUATING PAVED ROADS

For evaluating paved roads, Michigan uses a combination of four manuals and three guides:

- Asphalt PASER Manual in conjunction with the Michigan-specific Asphalt Road Rating Guide,
- Concrete PASER Manual in conjunction with the Michigan-specific Concrete Road Rating Guide,
- Brick and Block PASER Manual (data reported but not widespread), and
- Michigan-specific Sealcoat Road Rating Guide with additional information provided by the cracking and rutting images in the Sealcoat PASER Manual.

These manuals and guides can be found at http://www.ctt.mtu.edu/asset-management-resources or http://michiganltap.org/paser-resources.

However, the PASER system was created for use in Wisconsin and not for the Michigan TAMC. The Michigan TAMC defines road ratings differently and has some changes, exceptions, and/or exclusions to the information presented in these three PASER manuals. When using the PASER system in Michigan, data collectors need to be aware of these changes, which are detailed below. These changes provide simplified and uniform data collection and increases reporting accuracy to the Michigan Legislature.

PASER Descriptors vs. TAMC Definitions

Each rating in the PASER manuals includes written descriptors (excellent, very good,…failed, etc.) that are part of the rating category name and give an overall impression of the state of each rating. The PASER manuals’ descriptors are not based on any formal definition relating to the quality of the pavement. They should not be confused with the formal definitions of good, fair,
and poor that the Michigan TAMC has developed and uses for reporting. The original PASER descriptors and the TAMC definitions are as follows for asphalt and concrete pavements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>PASER Descriptor</th>
<th>TAMC Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 &amp; 9</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 &amp; 6</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The TAMC groups the 1-to-10 rating scale into three categories (8-10 is good, 5-7 is fair, 1-4 is poor) based upon a definition that relates to the type of work that is typically required for each rating grouping (routine maintenance, capital preventive maintenance, and structural improvement).

In TAMC nomenclature, roads that are considered good have a PASER of 8, 9, or 10. This category includes roads that only require routine maintenance, that have been recently seal coated, or that are newly constructed. Routine maintenance is the day-to-day, regularly-scheduled, low-cost activities to prevent water from seeping into the surface. These activities include street sweeping, drainage clearing, gravel shoulder grading, and crack sealing. Good roads require little or no maintenance beyond routine maintenance.

Roads that are considered fair have a PASER of 5, 6, or 7. Roads in this category still show good structural support but their surface is starting to deteriorate. Capital preventive maintenance (CPM) addresses pavement problems of fair roads before the structural integrity of the pavement has been severely impacted. CPM is a planned set of cost-effective treatments applied to an existing roadway that slows further deterioration and that maintains or improves the functional condition of the system without significantly increasing the structural capacity. The purpose of CPM fixes is to protect the pavement structure, slow the rate of deterioration, and/or correct pavement surface deficiencies.

According to TAMC, roads that are considered poor have a PASER of 1, 2, 3, or 4. These roads may exhibit alligator cracking and rutting. Road rutting is evidence that the underlying structure is beginning to fail and it must be either rehabilitated with a fix like a crush and shape or totally reconstructed. Poor roads require structural improvement (SI) such as resurfacing or major reconstruction.
Michigan-specific Asphalt Road Rating Guide

Michigan agencies should use this guide in conjunction with the Asphalt PASER Manual or the Asphalt PASER Manual, Revised 2013 edition. Please note the changes for rutting and block cracking, below, in your Asphalt PASER Manual and refer to the PASER Cheat Sheet (see Appendix A) for additional information.

Extent of Rutting

In the Asphalt PASER Manual and its 2013 edition, the extent of rutting for PASER 4 should be revised to ½”-1” rutting and PASER 3 should be revised to rutting of 1”-2” for Michigan-specific data collection (see the table, Asphalt Road Rating Guide: Changes for Michigan-specific Assessment of the Extent of Rutting, below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asphalt PASER Manual</th>
<th>Michigan-specific Asphalt Road Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PASER 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ ½ inch-deep rutting</td>
<td>MI PASER 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>½–1 inch-deep rutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphalt PASER Manual, Revised 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASER 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ ½ inch-deep rutting</td>
<td>MI PASER 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>½–1 inch-deep rutting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASER 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½–2 inch-deep rutting</td>
<td>MI PASER 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1–2 inch-deep rutting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extent of Block Cracking

Because the descriptor “50% of the surface” is undefined for Michigan’s data collection, both versions of the Asphalt PASER Manual should be revised as follows: PASER 6—“Initial block cracking (6’-10’ blocks)”, PASER 5—“Moderate block cracking (1’-5’ blocks)”, PASER 4—“Severe block cracking (less than 1’ blocks)”, and PASER 3—“Severe block cracking (alligator)” (see the table, Asphalt Road Rating Guide: Changes for Michigan-specific Assessment of the Extent of Block Cracking, below).
Asphalt Road Rating Guide:
Changes for Michigan-specific Assessment of the Extent of Block Cracking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asphalt PASER Manual</th>
<th>Michigan-specific Asphalt Road Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PASER 6</td>
<td>MI PASER 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First signs of block cracking</td>
<td>Initial block cracking (6’-10’ blocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASER 5</td>
<td>MI PASER 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block cracking, up to 50% of the surface</td>
<td>Initial block cracking (1’-5’ blocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASER 4</td>
<td>MI PASER 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block cracking, over 50% of the surface</td>
<td>Severe block cracking (&lt; 1’ blocks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASER 3</td>
<td>MI PASER 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe block cracking</td>
<td>Severe block cracking (alligator)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pro-active Sealcoat Treatments on Asphalt PASER 9

The *Asphalt PASER Manual* has a condition benchmark of PASER 8 for “recent sealcoat” asphalt pavements. This guidance is meant to upgrade a pavement, not to downgrade it. If an agency chooses to perform a sealcoat treatment as pro-active preventive maintenance prior to a pavement exhibiting any distresses, then the Michigan-specific recommendation is to rate this road based on visible distress.

Michigan-specific Concrete Road Rating Guide

Michigan agencies should use this guide in conjunction with the *Concrete PASER Manual*. Please note the changes for PASER 9 and Joint Rehabilitation, below, in your *Concrete PASER Manual* and refer to the PASER Cheat Sheet (see Appendix A) for additional information.

PASER 9 and Joint Rehabilitation

In the Concrete PASER Manual on page 17, the bottom photograph includes the description “RATING 9 Recent joint rehabilitation. Like new condition.” This example should be crossed out or noted as an extremely unlikely situation due to the fact that, by the time a concrete pavement requires joint rehabilitation, the original concrete slabs are rarely in a “like new condition” (without any distresses).
Michigan-specific Sealcoat Road Rating Guide

The Michigan TAMC uses a rating system on a 1 to 10 scale to evaluate sealcoat roads (sealcoat over a gravel base); this rating system is detailed in the *Michigan Sealcoat Rating Guide*, which can be found in Appendix B. Thus, all surface types in the paved road network are rated on the same, standardized rating scale.

The basis for Michigan’s standardized sealcoat rating system is a quantitative measure of cracking and rutting exhibited by a road segment. The sealcoat scale uses the relative percent of distress observed in the pavement to attain a score from 10, being a newly-constructed good road, to 1, being a road with extensive—or greater than 50%—distresses. The *Michigan Sealcoat Rating Guide* table on page 9 outlines this rating system for the state’s sealcoat pavements.

It is important to note that, while the images in the *Sealcoat PASER Manual* may be used to identify the degree of cracking or rutting, the manual should not be used for its rating scale. The Wisconsin PASER system for sealcoat pavements incorporates additional factors like ride, wear, drainage, surface loss, flushing, and patching, that can obscure the standardized rating system adopted by Michigan.

Using a Percentage Approach

The Michigan sealcoat scale assesses the percentage of distress over a cross section of the total length of the segment under consideration. The observed distresses are:

- Edge distress
- Lane distress (including rutting)
- Raveling

These percentages are not cumulative. If none of the observed surface distress percentages exceeds the upper limit of a rating description outlined in the sealcoat rating chart, then that description rating is your selection. For example, consider a cross section of the roadway segment: it can be 50 ft. long or 1-mile long. A sealcoat with a rating of 5 allows up to 20% raveling, 20% edge distress, or 20% lane distress. If your assessment yields 10% raveling, 5% edge distress and 20% lane distress, the rating is 5 because none of the distresses exceeds 20%. It is not a rating of 6 because the 20% lane distress exceeds the 10% criteria, and it is not a rating of 4 because edge distress and lane distress percentages do not exceed the 20% limit for 5. Cumulative total distress is irrelevant for this rating system.

Consult the *Michigan Sealcoat Rating Guide* in Appendix B for specific rating criteria.
EVALUATING UNPAVED ROADS

For evaluating unpaved roads, Michigan uses the Inventory-based Rating System™ for Gravel Roads Training Manual. This manual is available at https://www.ctt.mtu.edu/asset-management-resources. The IBR Field Guide highlights the principles of rating unpaved roads using the IBR System™ (see Appendix C). Agencies collecting data for their own local use may elect to collect PASER data in addition to their required Act-51 collection; instructions on switching to PASER mode for unpaved road data collection are included in this manual.

IBR Scores vs. TMC Definitions

The overall 1-10 IBR number is meant to standardize the rating scale so that it is comparable to other TMC rating scales. It is important to note that the Michigan TMC uses the formal definitions of good, fair, and poor as an evaluation of paved road condition, thereby assessing a road in terms of need for roadwork like routine maintenance, capital preventive maintenance, and/or structural improvement. These definitions do not translate over to the IBR System™.

The IBR System™ assesses unpaved road features in relation to a baseline condition for that feature. In other words, the IBR System™ assesses one of three distinct unpaved road features, or inventory elements. Each of these features is placed on a good-fair-poor gradient based on how it relates to the baseline—or good—condition for that particular feature. The good, fair, and poor assessments serve as simple designators, but they do not relate the quality of the road feature to the road’s intended use. The assessments of the three distinct features weight the road’s overall IBR number by the cost to get the features to a baseline good condition.

RATING ROADS EFFECTIVELY

How to Rate Road Effectively

Speed

Rating roads at high speeds can cause inaccuracy. Reviews conducted by the CTT’s road rating trainers have shown that teams that view roadways at lower speeds are much more likely to rate them accurately. Rating roads at high speeds can cause distresses to be missed and ratings to be higher than appropriate.

Lighting Conditions

Changes in lighting conditions and the time of day can influence how some distresses are perceived. Bright sunlight directly overhead may make surface texture defects or fine cracking
hard to discern. Rating early in the morning or late in the afternoon on a sunny day while driving into the sun may also make it difficult to rate roads effectively. If lighting conditions are poor, slow down or stop to make sure that you are not overlooking any visual cues.

Trees cause shadows that can appear to be road distresses. Tree shadows on the road make for very difficult rating conditions. Options are to return to the location at a different time or drive at lower speeds.

**Inclement Weather**

Both PASER and IBR are visual assessment systems. With the PASER system, trying to rate paved roads in the rain is ineffective. Road surfaces look different when they are wet—cracks look larger, puddles can hide distresses, and so forth. Teams should not rate roads when they are wet. However, with the IBR System™, features of an unpaved road are rated rather than the road’s condition. As long as the feature is visible (i.e., not obscured by tall grass or snow), roads can be rated.

**Group Dynamics**

Teams need to be aware of group dynamics in their vehicles. Condition rating is supposed to be a group process. However, the process also needs to conform to TAMC procedures. Teams should read the PASER and IBR descriptions closely and refer to the reference sheets for clarification.

**Road Ownership, Use or Importance**

Do not rate an important road less than the actual rating. Do not confuse a management decision with rating. Road ownership, use, or importance does not change its distress rating.

**Road Construction Projects**

When rating a road currently under construction where the old pavement is gone, the road should be rated as if the construction were complete. Rate the existing pavement if construction limits are not established by road work (more than traffic control devices).

**What to Assess to Rate Roads Effectively**

**Rate What You See**

Don’t anticipate upcoming condition data based on previous condition data. Rate what you see. The value of the actual rating is a usable record of road improvements and ratings for managing costs and extending service life.
Rate the Worst Lane

If there is a difference in quality, select the worst lane for your rating.

Rate Distress, Not Ride Quality

Just because a road rides well does not mean that it has no distresses in need of capital preventive maintenance or structural improvement. This is especially true on a road with rutting and cracking in the wheel path, both of which can cause rapid deterioration yet still yield a smooth ride. Conversely, a concrete surface in relatively good condition with sealed transverse cracks often makes quite a bit of noise as tires pass over the expanded crack seal. More noise does not always mean severe distress. Do not let ride quality distort your ratings.

Make Careful Distress Observations on Light-colored Pavement

Oxidized pavements can be very light and often look gray or off-white, which causes distresses to be less visible. Flat lighting on an oxidized pavement can also hinder visibility of distresses.

Measure Rutting

It can be difficult to detect rutting when moving at high speeds on a sunny day. When initially learning to assess ruts, teams can quickly get a tangible assessment of the extent of rutting on a road—where it is practical and safe to do so—by using a six-foot aluminum T-bar (available at the PO’s office) in conjunction with a tape measure. It is the rating team’s decision to choose whether to measure rutting by physical assessment.

Paved Shoulders

For paved shoulders, rate the pavement from edge line to edge line and omit the shoulder condition. Shoulders are not rated because they are often constructed differently than the traveled way; they typically have a thinner structural layer so deterioration is different.

BOUNDARY SEGMENTS

Boundary roads (roads that fall between jurisdictions) often have non-standard characteristics and splits on the framework basemap used by Roadsoft. As a result, it may be unclear which jurisdiction is responsible for rating a boundary road. To eliminate potential data collection issues when rating boundary roads, follow these two rules:

**Rule 1:** Follow the *Data Collection Procedures* section of this manual carefully. The steps for collecting and submitting TMC data are laid out in a specific order to ensure that your rated roads are properly identified and tagged for TMC/Federal-aid data collection.
**Rule 2:** Rating teams should rate all boundary roads in their data collection networks regardless of ownership or maintenance responsibilities.

## SPLITTING SEGMENTS

If a team encounters an undocumented change in the surface type or layout of a road (such as number of lanes), they should create a split in the framework basemap used by Roadsoft to reflect the change. Although the framework basemap used by Roadsoft initially splits all street and road segments on an intersection-to-intersection basis (node to node) or by using Act-51 boundaries (township/city/county), agencies can add road segment splits to denote changes in surface types or conditions.

Rating teams should respect segment splits previously created within Roadsoft by local agencies. However, the following guidelines will help you decide if introducing new splits is warranted while collecting TAMC data.

### Guidelines for Splitting Segments

- If the area in question has received rehabilitation or reconstruction separate from the framework segment from which it came, then the segment in question should be split from the framework segment into its own designated rating segment.

- Avoid splitting segments into lengths of less than ¼ mile.

### Good Reasons for Splitting Segments

#### Change in Surface Type

If the road surface changes (e.g., from asphalt to gravel, asphalt to chip seal, chip seal to gravel), then splitting a segment to reflect a change in surface type can ensure that the inventory collected is representative of the actual road conditions.

#### Number of Lanes

Commercial or development activity may require the addition of through lanes or continuous left-turn lanes within a given framework segment. Splitting a segment to reflect this addition will ensure that Roadsoft’s lane mileage inventory reflects the true mileage.
Intersection as a Unique Facility

Many intersections within a county/city system are extensions of segments, meaning their design, surface type, service life, and number of lanes is no different than the segment from which they stem. However, some intersections have significant changes in surface type and/or geometry. In these cases, it may be best to designate the intersection as a unique facility by making it a distinct segment.

Environmental Factors

Environmental factors can have a significant impact on a segment of road. For example, regular flooding or exceptional frost heave can cause severe damage to the roadway. Although this type of deterioration is rare, these segments should be designated as their own segment if they are longer than a ¼ of a mile. This helps to isolate the area needing rehabilitation or reconstruction.

Bad Reasons for Splitting Segments

The following cases do not affect the network as a whole and, therefore, do not warrant segment splitting:

- Change in condition over a short stretch (e.g., 50 feet)
- Short right or left turn bay
- School zone
- Traffic count segments

SAFETY CONCERNS

General Safety

During data collection, you will be merging in and out of traffic, slowing down, pulling off to the shoulder for team discussions, and so forth; always take safety precautions! Driving the team vehicle is not something to be taken lightly. All the vehicles must be equipped with a warning light bar. Warning garments should be worn by raters that get out of the vehicle to view distress better or to measure rutting better. Above all, be sure to comply with your employer’s warning garment and safety procedure requirements.
DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES
TAMC DATA COLLECTION DETAILS

Federal-aid versus Non-Federal-aid Data Collection

The Michigan TAMC collects data for Michigan’s Federal-aid road network. In addition, the TAMC requests submission of data collected with or without reimbursement for Michigan’s non-Federal-aid road system. Submitting data sets for these two networks gives the TAMC a better understanding of Michigan road conditions. However, these two networks have different collection procedures by which you are to collect data in the Laptop Data Collector for import into Roadsoft; during the data submission process, both sets of collected data can be imported together into Roadsoft (Step 6), imported into the planning organization’s (PO) version of Roadsoft (Steps 7 and 8), and submitted to the TAMC (Steps 9 and 10).

Collection Timeline

- Data collection begins: April 1 of every year
- Data collection completed by: Last Friday in November
- Data submitted to the Center for Shared Solutions (CSS) by: First Friday in December

To schedule your Federal-aid data collection, contact your PO. See the maps in Appendix D and Appendix E to determine your jurisdiction’s planning office. See https://www.miregions.com/regions.asp if you need contact information for an RPO or http://www.mtpa-mi.org/members.asp if you need contact information for an MPO.

Rating Teams

TAMC or Federal-aid data collection rating teams should be comprised of two trained members/representatives (minimum) from two different agencies, for example:

- one from the Act-51 jurisdiction’s PO, AND
- one from the Act-51 jurisdiction being rated (county, city, village, or MDOT), OR appointed by the Act-51 jurisdiction being rated.

The PO coordinating data collection must review the collected data before sending it to the CSS. This quality control procedure is described in detail in Step 9 of the data-collection submission process.

Local or non-Federal-aid data collection only requires only one trained member/representative:

- one from the Act-51 jurisdiction being rated (county, city, village, or MDOT).
More information on rating teams is available in Appendix F: TAMC’s *Policy for Collection of Roadway Surface Condition Data*.

**Required training sessions**

Anyone who participates in the annual data collection of the Federal-aid system and who influences the rating activity must attend training prior to rating. For PASER data collection, raters must attend PASER Class one and PASER Class two (offered in 2023) in the same year the data collection occurs; for 2023 data collection, raters are exempted from training if they trained or were certified in 2020, 2021, or 2022. For IBR data collection, raters who have not attended IBR training within the past three years must attended one IBR webinar in the current year.

The TAMC has instituted a testing and certification program for PASER data collectors who attended PASER training and collected PASER data for multiple years. The certification allows experienced raters to opt out of training for the next three years. There is no certification for IBR data collectors.

The training requirements and certification requirement policy is available in Appendix F: TAMC’s *Policy for Collection of Roadway Surface Condition Data*.

**Required Tools**

**Computer hardware**

- Laptop computer from PO
- GPS from PO
- Additional laptop computer from local agency (as backup and/or for local data collection)

**Computer software and data sets**

Before you begin collecting road data for the data collection season, ensure that you are using the newest versions and the latest frameworks used by Roadsoft and Laptop Data Collector, which are released by April 1 of the collection year. Visit [https://www.roadsoft.org/downloads/](https://www.roadsoft.org/downloads/) for the newest versions of Roadsoft and the Laptop Data Collector. If you have any questions or concerns, please call Roadsoft support at (906) 487-2102. There are two different data sets for Roadsoft—the local agency’s data set and the PO’s data set.
Replacement vehicles

If you need another vehicle, either use one from the county road commission or rent one. In the rare event that you need to rent a vehicle, the MDOT rater should check with the TAMC coordinator for the current procedure; the MDOT rater should be the signatory and should purchase the extra insurance.

Corrections for the Framework Map

Official changes to road name, jurisdiction, and Act-51 classifications to the road network are done during the annual Act-51 map certification process by the jurisdictional agency through MDOT and not through a Roadsoft request. MDOT will implement annual Act-51 certified changes through the MDOT Roads & Highways tools that supply Roadsoft with the framework map. MDOT has a public website for viewing Act-51 maps, accessible at https://mdotjboss.state.mi.us/SpecProv/act51.htm.

If a team discovers road attribution in the Roadsoft base map that does not match their jurisdiction’s Act-51 map, they should first place a short notation in the LDC memo field for that segment (select the Inventory tab). Consistent use of a tag such as “correction” can simplify creating a Roadsoft report containing these errors and the road segments where they are located. This information can be passed on to MDOT for correction.

Next, a team should create a Framework Map Correction Request (see Appendix G) and submit it through Roadsoft. A second option is directly contacting Patrick Allen regarding Act-51 roads at (517) 335-2900 or allenp@michigan.gov or contacting Mike Reynolds regarding trunkline roads at (517) 241-1244 or reynoldsm4@michigan.gov. While non-jurisdictional agency requests may be sent, the Act-51 maps have precedence.

Reimbursement

Data collection for Federal aid is reimbursable for qualified individuals. The TAMC provides funds for non-Federal-aid collection reimbursement, which is coordinated through your PO. Requests for prior approval to collect non-Federal-aid data for reimbursement and invoices for rating efforts (see Appendix H and Appendix I) should be submitted to your PO. For questions, contact:

TAMC Help Desk
Michigan Department of Transportation
PO Box 30050
425 West Ottawa Street
Lansing, Michigan 48909
CSS-TAMC@michigan.gov | tel. 517-335-3741

See current TAMC policies for current collection and reimbursement rules.
Working with Smaller Cities and Villages

Smaller cities and villages are often enthusiastic about the data collection process. However, it can be time consuming to visit smaller communities (i.e., communities that have 10 or 20 miles of Federal-aid-eligible roads) in order to set up a Roadsoft network. If an agency has a limited number of miles in its jurisdiction, two options exist for including them in the data collection process.

In the latest versions of Roadsoft and the LDC, data collection exports from the LDC can be provided to small agencies as a means for transferring recently collected condition data that were collected using an export from the local agency version of Roadsoft. This option should only be used for small cities and villages with their permission because the historical road splits and historical data present in the small local agencies’ Roadsoft database will not be available to assist in collection activities. Medium to large cities and villages should collect data using an export from the agency’s version of Roadsoft as you would with a county.

Another option for dealing with very small agencies is to provide them with a report (i.e., of the condition for the physical reference segment) and have them manually enter data in their version of Roadsoft.

Both options allow data collectors to use the collection networks they build at the county road agency without having to stop and upload data for these small agencies. Data collection should be dealt with on a case-by-case basis.
The above figure illustrates the TAMC data collection flow for assessing a road network involves local agencies and POs. The flow uses the Roadsoft software suite and the Laptop Data Collector at various steps, indicated by the desktop and laptop icons respectively; it ends with POs submitting data via the TAMC’s Investment Reporting Tool (IRT). The color-coding of the figure corresponds first with the performing agency—blue for the local agency and/or the PO and purple for the PO only—and second with the tool used—light blue background for the local agency version of Roadsoft, medium blue background for the Laptop Data Collector, purple background for the PO’s version of Roadsoft, and teal for the IRT. Each step corresponds to a step outlined in this section of the manual, which is color-coded to correspond with this figure.

Keep in mind that creating a backup between Steps 5 and 6, signified by the green arrow (↑) above, is crucial: this backup file creates a save point that allows recovery of data from a previous save point or allows reverting of data to a previous save point. It is recommended that you save a copy of this file on an external backup device.
DATA COLLECTION
Make sure you use the local agency’s copy of Roadsoft at the county, city, or village for which you will be collecting data. The data collection process needs to start with the local agency’s Roadsoft data set, not with a PO’s version of Roadsoft. Collection teams should, therefore, use local data – not the PO’s data – as a starting point.
Step 1: Identify your TAMC/Federal-aid or local/non-Federal-aid network for data collection

The decision of how to develop a TAMC or Federal-aid data collection network is left up to agencies and POs. Remember that networks must be created so as to include all of an agency’s Federal-aid-eligible road inventory in a two-year cycle of data collection (see page 3); therefore, a current year network should include all roads that were not collected in the previous year.

Local-use or non-Federal-aid data collection can be either reimbursable or non-reimbursable (see Reimbursement on page 21); the collection process is the same regardless of reimbursement. While agencies are not required to collect local/non-Federal-aid data, agencies may find local/non-Federal-aid data to be useful for agency-specific needs or initiatives; therefore, the process for developing a local/non-Federal-aid network in Roadsoft is left up to the local agency’s discretion.

In Roadsoft, create your TAMC/Federal-aid or local/non-Federal-aid network for your current year (CYYY):

i. Select the Road layer in the Map Layers window.

ii. Open Filter Builder:
   a. Select Filter from the button bar at the top of the Map window.
   b. Select Filter Builder… from the dropdown menu (see image below).

OR:

a. Right-click anywhere on the map in the Map window.

b. Select Filter Builder… from the right-click menu (see image below).

⇒ The Road Layer Filter Builder window will open.
iii. Add the criterion **Federal-aid**:

   a. Select **Federal-aid** from the Field list.

   b. Select **equals (=)** as the **Operator**.

   c. For TAMC/Federal-aid data collection, select **Yes** as the **Value**.

      OR: For local/non-Federal-aid data collection, select **No** as the **Value**.

   d. Select **Add**.

iv. For TAMC/Federal-aid data collection, add a criterion of **TAMC Collection Year <> PYYY** (where PYYY should be your previous year):

   a. Select **TAMC Collection Year** from the Field list.

   b. Select **not equals (<>)** as the **Operator**.

   c. Select **PYYY** as the **Value**.

   **NOTE:** Selecting a network not equal to the previous year’s collection network will select roads that were not rated last year, thus ensuring an entire network is rated in a two-year cycle.

   d. Select **Add** (see image below).

OR: For local/non-Federal-aid data collection, add any optional criteria that you want to use in defining your network.

v. Save this road layer filter:

   a. Select **Save As** from the button bar at the top of the Road Layer Filter Builder window (see image below).

   ⇒ The Save Filter window will open.

   Enter a filter name in the **Name** textbox (see image below); an example of a filter name would be “CYYY [Type] Network”, where CYYY is your current year and [Type] is your network type (e.g., “TAMC”, “Local”, or “Non-Fed-Aid”).
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c. **OPTIONAL**: Enter a group name in the *Group* textbox (see image below).

   **NOTE**: *Groups make it easier to organize your saved list of filters.*

![Group screenshot](image)

---

d. **OPTIONAL**: De-select the **Shared Filter** option (see image below).

   **NOTE**: A *shared filter allows others accessing your Roadsoft database on your agency’s computer network to be able to apply the filter. By default, the Shared Filter option is selected; choosing to de-select it means your filter will not be accessible by others on your network.*

![Shared Filter screenshot](image)

---

e. Select **Save As** (see image below).

   ⇒ This filter creates your new TAMC/Federal-aid or local/non-Federal-aid network.

vi. **Apply your new CYYY network as a selection:**

   a. Select **Replace Selection** (see image below).

   ⇒ The *Selection Information: Road* window will populate with selection data.

vii. **Examine your CYYY network on the map:**

   a. Visually verify the selected segments are appropriate for rating this year.

   **NOTE**: For TAMC/Federal-aid collection, you should be collecting data for all roads not rated in PYYY; a full Federal-aid collection consists of PYYY data and CYYY data, which should include ratings 100 percent of Federal-aid-eligible road inventory (see page 3).

If you have any questions or issues creating your TAMC/Federal-aid or local/non-Federal-aid network for the current year, please refer to the Roadsoft Manual’s [Use the Filter Builder help documentation](#) (under Navigate the Map Select Assets). Or, please call Roadsoft technical support at (906) 487-2102.
Step 2: Export your network for use with the LDC

There are two ways of exporting network data from Roadsoft for use in the LDC. The first option is **TAMC Export**, which allows you to gather surface type, road rating, and number of lanes, and then specifically tags your data to satisfy the TAMC data collection requirements. The second option is **Local Use Export**, which allows recording of more data but does not specifically tag your data for TAMC/Federal-aid data collection. Although both options can be used to collect road ratings, use the **TAMC Export** for TAMC data collection and use the **Local Use Export** option for other data collection efforts that require more than road rating and number of lanes. Agencies should choose the process that best fits their needs.

A. TAMC Export Option

The **TAMC Export** option will automatically tag your data for TAMC submission/Federal-aid data collection. You can also use this option for local/non-Federal-aid collection; however, this option only allows you to gather rating, surface type, and number of lanes data.

In Roadsoft, export your TAMC/Federal-aid network for use with the LDC:

i. Open the *Export to LDC* window (see image below):

   a. Select the **TAMC** menu from the main menu options (Roadsoft menu bar).

   ⇨ Select **1 - (County/City Does This) Export Network for LDC**. The *Export to LDC* window will open (see image below).
ii. Select the new TAMC/Federal-aid network defined in Step 1 that you wish to export:

a. Check the **Road** checkbox in the *Export to LDC* window’s *Choose Road Network for TAMC LDC Data Collection* list.

   ⇒ The *Load Saved Filter* window will open (see image below).

b. Locate the TAMC/Federal-aid network that you created in Step 1:
   - Type the name in the search field (A in image below).
   - OR: Scroll through the list until you locate your network (B in image below).
   - OR: Select a group from the *Group* dropdown (C in image below), and scroll through list of remaining networks until you locate your network.

c. Select the network.

d. Select **OK**.

   ⇒ This will return you to the *Export to LDC* window (see image below).
NOTE: If you need to define or change a road layer filter, you can access the Road Layer Filter Builder at this point by selecting the Open Road Filter Builder… link in the Export to LDC window (see image below). This will open the Road Layer Filter Builder window and you may proceed with building a filter using Steps 1.iii through 1.vi.

iii. Define an Export Path:

The Export Path is the location on your hard drive where you want to save the export file.

NOTE: Before proceeding, it is recommended that you create a folder specifically for storing your Roadsoft export files. As an example, compare the export paths for the image above with the other images in Step 2A.

   a. Select the folder icon (button) to browse your hard drive for the location where you want the export file to be saved (see Note above).

   OR: Type/paste your desired path into the textbox (see Note above).

iv. Save the export file to the location you specified:

   a. Select Export.

   b. Select OK to close the window confirming a successful export.

   Roadsoft creates a file in the location you specified:

   • RStoLDC_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].ldcz

v. Copy the .ldcz file to a CD, flash drive, or other portable storage device.

   You will be transferring the .ldcz onto the laptop that has the LDC installed on it.

   Proceed to Step 3.
B. Local Use Export Option

The Export for LDC option in the LDC menu does not automatically tag your data for TAMC/Federal-aid data collection; therefore, this option is suitable for local/non-Federal-aid data collection efforts only. This option is useful for collection of additional data like signs, guardrails, and culverts for local purposes. If you choose this option and you are attempting to collect data for the TAMC, you will have two fail-safe points to opt for automatic tagging of your data for TAMC/Federal-aid data collection (see Step 2.B.i.c and Step 6.iii).

i. Open the Export to LDC window (see image below):
   a. Select the LDC menu from the main menu in Roadsoft.
   b. Select Export for LDC.
      ⇒ The TAMC Data Collection? dialogue box will open (see image below).
      
      ![Image of TAMC Data Collection? dialogue box]

   c. Confirm whether this data collection is for TAMC/Federal-aid submission or for local/non-Federal-aid use:
      • Select **Yes** if this non-Federal-aid data collection is specifically for TAMC/Federal-aid submission.
         ⇒ The Export to LDC window will open; refer to Step 2.A.ii.
      OR
      • Select **No** if this non-Federal-aid data collection is specifically for local/non-Federal-aid use.
         ⇒ The Export to LDC window will open; refer to Step 2.B.ii.

      _Tip:_ Note that local-use data can still be sent to TAMC (see Step 6.iii’s Important message). Selecting **No** will allow you to edit all road inventory data.

**IMPORTANT:** Selecting Yes or No at this point creates an export file that will load in the Laptop Data Collector in a TAMC-data-collection-compliant mode or non-compliant mode. For more information about features that will be enabled/disabled based on your selection here, please refer to Step 4.B.i.
ii. Select the new local/non-Federal-aid network defined in Step 1 that you wish to export:

- Check the **Road** checkbox in the *Export to LDC* window (see image below).

  **NOTE:** The *Export to LDC* window that opens after selecting **No** in the TARC Data Collection? dialogue box in Step 2.B.i. has options available that are different from the *Export to LDC* window that opens if **Yes** is selected.

  ![Choose Data Type(s) for LDC Data Collection](image)

  - The **Load Saved Filter** window will automatically open (see image below).
  
  - **b.** Locate the local/non-Federal-aid network that you created in Step 1:
    - Type the name in the search field (A in image below).
    - OR: Scroll through the list until you locate your network (B in image below).
    - OR: Select a group from the **Group** dropdown (C in image below), and scroll through list of remaining networks until you locate your network.

  ![Load Saved Filter](image)

  - **c.** Select the network.
d. Select OK.

⇒ This will return you to the Export to LDC window with your selected export network listed in the Export Network field (see image below).

**NOTE:** If you need to define or change a road layer filter, you can access the Road Layer Filter Builder at this point by selecting the Open Road Filter Builder… link in the Export to LDC window (see image below). This opens the Road Layer Filter Builder window and you may build a filter using Steps 1.iii through 1.vi.

![Road Layer Filter Builder](image)

iii. Define an Export Path:

| Tip | The Export Path is the location on your hard drive where you want to save the export file. |

**NOTE:** Before proceeding, it is recommended that you create a folder specifically for storing your Roadsoft export files. As an example, compare the export paths for the image above with the other images in Step 2A.

a. Select the folder icon ( button) to browse your hard drive for the location where you want the export to be saved (see Note above).

OR: Type/paste your desired path into the textbox (see Note above).

b. Save the export file to the location you specified:

   a. Select Export.

   b. Select OK to close the window confirming a successful export.

⇒ Roadsoft creates one file in the location you specified:

   • RStoLDC_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].ldcz

vi. Copy the .ldcz file to a CD, flash drive, or other portable storage device.

| Tip | You will be transferring the .ldcz file onto the laptop that has the LDC installed on it. |

⇒ Proceed to Step 3
Data collection teams receive a laptop computer and a GPS unit from their PO. This laptop will have the LDC installed on it. Note that changes to data will only be sent to the TAMC if they were collected in the LDC. Ratings and changes in ratings should be initially entered in the LDC (not in Roadsoft) or the data will not be reported.

It is advisable to have a second laptop and GPS unit in the vehicle just in case something goes wrong. Many county road commissions and cities now have laptop computers, which they can bring for backup purposes or for local-use-only data collection.
Step 3: Import the network into the Laptop Data Collector (LDC)

In the LDC, import your network for data collection:

i. Connect the portable storage device containing the export file to your laptop.
   - The export file is the .ldcz file saved to portable storage in Step 2.A.v. or 2.B.vi. by the local agency.

ii. Complete the Roadsoft Laptop Data Collector v[CYYY.MM] Login window:
   a. Start the LDC.
      ⇨ The Roadsoft Laptop Data Collector v[CYYY.MM] Login window will open (see image below).
   b. Select a Database by selecting the Change Database button to locate the export file on the portable storage device that was created in Step 2.
      - If you want to change your database while inside the LDC, select File from the main menu and then select Change DB (Import Data from Roadsoft).
   c. Enter the name(s) of the person/people rating in the appropriate field(s).
   d. Select OK.

   **NOTE:** Confirm database by reviewing database information and road data.
   
   ⇨ The exported network generated through Steps 1 and 2 will import into the LDC.
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Step 4: Connect the GPS to your laptop and begin collecting data

A. Connect the GPS

Complete the procedure below outside and free from buildings or other possible signal obstructions.

i. Open the LDC on the laptop.

ii. Connect GPS to your laptop using the USB connection.

**NOTE:** *If your GPS has an on/off switch, make sure your GPS device is turned off before connecting it to your computer.*

![Tip] Be sure to connect the GPS to the same USB port every time. Also, if your GPS is on before connecting it, your mouse pointer may jump around erratically. If this happens, turn off your GPS, leave it connected, and restart your laptop.

iii. Turn on your GPS and wait for it to acquire a position (this could take a couple of minutes).

iv. Establish communication between the GPS and the LDC:

   a. Select the **GPS** option from the main menu in the LDC.

   b. Select **Start/Stop GPS Connection**.

   c. Wait a few minutes for the GPS and the LDC to locate your current position.

⇒ The LDC’s GIS map will snap to the GPS position.

![Tip] If your GPS fails to connect, wait several minutes and try to connect again. For additional assistance, please consult the Roadsoft Manual’s [Connect a GPS](#) help documentation (under *Laptop Data Collector (LDC) > Getting Started*). Or, please contact Roadsoft technical support if the problem persists.

**NOTE:** *If you are on or near a road segment that is NOT part of the network that you imported into the LDC, the LDC will not snap to a segment on the map. Drive your vehicle toward a road that is part of the network so that the vehicle marker can snap to it. If this does not happen, restart the LDC or call Roadsoft technical support.*
B. Collect data

i. Segments should be automatically selected as the vehicle travels down the road. Segments can also be selected manually.

**IMPORTANT:** While collecting data, back up every hour or as often as conveniently possible. From the main LDC menu, select the File menu and then select **Backup Database** to create a data save point. If data collection spans multiple days, export the data every day and save a copy of the data file with a naming scheme of `LDCtoRS_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].ldc2rs` (inserting appropriate identifiers in place of bracketed text) to a CD or flash drive.

**NOTE:** When you select a segment, you will be able to view inventory data in the Inventory tab (see image below). If you selected Step 2.A. TAMC Export Option, you will not be able to save changes to the data in the Inventory tab while you are rating roads. If you selected Step 2.B. Local Use Export Option, you will be able to save changes to the data in the Inventory tab while you are rating roads.
**NOTE:** The History tab provides a history of PASER for the current segment (see image below). Viewing past PASER before rating a segment can influence the rating. If you selected Step 2.A. TAMC Export Option, the History tab’s grid will become visible after you submit a rating for the segment; this feature helps to avoid the influence of past ratings on current rating activities. If you selected Step 2.B. Local Use Export Option, you will be able to view historic PASER data while you are rating a segment.

![History tab grid](image)

ii. Rate the road segment using the PASER system or the IBR System™:

Use the following shortcut keys to enter data into the LDC’s Road window Rating tab:

- Ctrl + S  Toggle Surface Type
- Ctrl + 0–9  PASER
- Shift + Ctrl + 0–9  Number of Lanes
- Ctrl + Enter  Submit (save) Data
- Ctrl + +/-  Zoom In/Out
- Ctrl + Arrow keys  Pan the GIS Map
- Ctrl + Space bar  Hold/Release Segment
- Alt + S  Split Segment

For a complete list of shortcut keys, select the Help menu and then select Shortcut Keys.

**For paved roads:**

a. Choose a surface type.

![Paved road surface types](image)
b. Enter the number of lanes.

c. Select a PASER score.

**NOTE:** If you selected Step 2.B. Local Use Export Option, you will be able to input treatment information and optional ratings for base condition, drain condition, and ride condition (see images below).
For unpaved roads:

d. Select scores for IBR elements of Width, Drainage, and Structure or select scores for PASER depending on the (see images below).

![Images showing IRB and PASER models for unpaved roads]

**IMPORTANT:** If you selected Step2.B. Local Use Export Option, you may choose to collect either IBR or PASER data for your unpaved roads. To switch the data type:

- Select **Settings** in the main menu (see image next page).
- Select **Road** from the **Settings** dropdown menu (see image next page).
- Select **Unpaved Road Rating Mode** from the **Road** flyout menu (see image next page).
- Select **Inventory Based Rating** or **PASER** from the **Unpaved Road Rating Mode** flyout menu (see image next page).
A checkmark next to Inventory Based Rating or PASER will indicate the mode in which your LDC is set.

C. Check for and Rate Unrated Segments

i. Verify that there are no unrated roads in your network:
   a. Select the **File** option from the main menu.
   b. Select **Current DB Statistics**.
   c. Verify that the **Total Miles Not Yet Rated** field displays “0”.

If the field is “0”, you have completed the data collection process and may proceed to Step 5. Otherwise continue to the next sub-step, Step 4.C.ii. in this process, ‘Check for and Rate Unrated Segments’.
ii. Rate any remaining unrated segments:

   a. Select the **File** option from the main menu.
   
   b. Select **Check for Unrated Segments** (see image below).

   ⇒ The *Unrated Segments* window will open (see image below).

   c. Highlight a row in the *Unrated Segments* window (see image above).

   ⇒ This selects the corresponding segment on the map.
   
   d. Enter a rating for the segment in the *Road* window (see image above).
   
   e. Repeat sub-steps c and d until the list of unrated segments is completed.
   
   f. Use **Refresh** to update the form (see image above).

   ⇒ When there are no more records in the grid, all segments have been rated and you may proceed to Step 5.
Step 5: Export collected data from the LDC

In the LDC, export your TAMC/Federal-aid or your local/non-Federal-aid data collection for use in Roadsoft:

i. Select the **File** option from the main menu in the LDC.

ii. Select **Export DB/Data to Roadsoft**.

   ⇒ The *Export Data to Roadsoft* window will open (see image below).

iii. Save the export file on your hard drive:

   a. Enter a location on your hard drive in the *Export Path* field.

   OR: Select the folder icon ( button) to browse your hard drive and find a location.

   b. Select the **Export** button.

   c. Select **OK** once the Export Complete notice displays.

iv. Copy the “LDCtoRS_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].ldc2rs” file to a portable storage device.

**VERY IMPORTANT:** The “LDCtoRS_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].ldc2rs” file functions as a data save point that can be useful for data recovery/reversion purposes. The Roadsoft team strongly recommends that you save a copy of the file in a permanent archive every day to facilitate data recovery. This file can also be used to update small cities and villages with limited Federal-aid miles.
Step 6: Import the collected data to Roadsoft

**IMPORTANT:** Before you import new data into Roadsoft, create a data save point for your existing Roadsoft database. To do so, select the **Tools** menu and then select **Backup Roadsoft Database** from the dropdown menu. Select a location where you would like to save your file using the file folder icon at the end of the Backup File field to set the location; select the **OK** button. Then, select **Create Backup**.

i. Open the **Import Data From LDC** window (see image below):
   a. Select the **TAMC** menu from the main menu in Roadsoft.
   b. Select **2 - (County/City Does This) Import TAMC Data from LDC**.
      ⇒ The **Import Data From LDC** window will open.

ii. Import your LDC data:
   a. Select the **Browse for LDC Export** button.
   b. Locate the “LDCtoRS_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].ldc2rs” file.
   c. Select **Open**.
   d. Select **Import LDC Data**.
      ⇒ The **Import LDC Data** dialogue box will open (see image below).
   e. If you have not already created a backup of your Roadsoft data, select **Yes**; this will open the Roadsoft Database Manager and create a backup.
      OR: If you have already created a backup of your Roadsoft data, select **No**.
      ⇒ If you selected Step 2.A TAMC Export Option, Roadsoft will automatically restart when the import process is complete. Proceed to Step 7.
OR: If you selected Step 2.B Local Use Export Option, the Submit Road Rating Data to TAMC? dialogue box will open (see image below). Continue to the next sub-step, Step 6.iii.

**IMPORTANT:** If the network being imported was created using the Local Use Export Option process and you selected the No button in the TAMC Data Collection? dialogue box (see Step 2.B.i), two dialogue boxes come up to clarify whether the included data is to be submitted to TAMC: the Submit Road Rating Data to TAMC? dialogue box and the TAMC Rating Requirements dialogue box.

iii. The Submit Road Rating Data to TAMC? dialogue box requires Yes/No verification (see image below):

   ![Submit Road Rating Data to TAMC? Dialogue Box](image)

   This dialogue box should not appear for Federal-aid submission; if it does, the Federal-aid network was set up incorrectly and was not created as a TAMC export.

   a. Select **Yes** if you plan to submit the data to TAMC.

   b. Select **No** if you do not want the data included in the TAMC data set export in Step 7.

   ![TAMC Rating Requirements Dialogue Box](image)

iv. The TAMC Rating Requirements dialogue box will open if **Yes** was selected (see image below).

   a. Select **Yes** or **No** as appropriate to reflect whether the data meets non-Federal-aid road PASER data collection requirements.

   ![TAMC Rating Requirements Dialogue Box](image)

   This will determine whether the data will be included in the TAMC data set export in Step 7.

   ⊠ Roadsoft will automatically restart when the import process is complete.
Step 7: Export TAMC data for the planning organization’s version of Roadsoft

i. Open the *Export TAMC Data to Region* dialogue box (see image below):
   a. Select the **TAMC** menu from the main menu in Roadsoft.
   b. Select **3 - (County/City Does This) Export TAMC Data to Region**.
   ⇒ *The Export TAMC Data to Region* dialogue box will open.

![Export TAMC Data to Region dialogue box]

ii. Define an **Export Path:**
   - The Export Path is the location on your hard drive where you want to save the export file.
   
   a. Select the folder icon (button) to browse your hard drive for the location where you want the export to be saved.
   
   OR: Type/paste your desired export path into the textbox.
   b. Select **Export**.

iii. Confirm the successfully completed export:
   
   a. Select **OK** when the export confirmation dialogue box opens.
   
   ⇒ Roadsoft will create a file named “TAMC_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].tamz” in the location you specified.

iv. Copy this .tamz file to a portable storage device.
   - This .tamz file will be sent to your PO for import into their Roadsoft database.
FOR PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS ONLY: DATA SUBMISSION
Step 8: Import T AMC data from the local agency into the planning organization’s version of Roadsoft

**NOTE:** This step is not performed in the field; it should be performed at the PO office to import inspection data from individual agencies.

**IMPORTANT:** Before you import new data into Roadsoft, back up your existing Roadsoft database. To do so, open the **Tools** menu and then select **Backup Roadsoft Database** from the dropdown; select **Create Backup**. Select a location where you would like to save your file using the file folder icon at the end of the **Backup File field** to set the location; select the **OK** button. Then, select **Create Backup**.

i. Open the **Import T AMC Data From Local Jurisdiction** dialogue box (see image below) in the PO’s Roadsoft database:
   
   a. Select the **T AMC** menu.
   b. Select **4 - (Region Does This) Import T AMC from County/City**.  
      
      ➔ The **Import T AMC Data From Local Jurisdiction** dialogue box (see image below) will open.

   ![Import T AMC Data From Local Jurisdiction](image)

   Find the local agency’s Roadsoft data collection that you wish to import:
   
   a. Select the **Browse for LDC Export** button.
   b. Locate the “T AMC_[jurisdiction]_[date]_[time].tamz” file.
   c. Select **Open**.
   d. Select the **Import LDC Data** button.
   ➔ An import dialogue box will open.
iii. Create a backup of your Roadsoft data prior to importing:

   a. Select the **Yes** in the import dialogue box to open the Roadsoft Database Manager and create a backup; proceed with importing your collected data.

   OR: Select the **No** button to skip the backup and continue with the import.

   Roadsoft will automatically restart when the import is complete.

**NOTE:** The **Import TAMC Data from Local Jurisdiction** dropdown lists up to the last four folders from which you imported. *If this is the first time you are importing data, the screen will appear blank.*
Step 9: Export planning organization’s export files from Roadsoft

NOTE: Once your PO’s data are complete, export the PO’s .xml to the CSS.

i. Verify your PO’s data before proceeding:
   a. Follow the steps in TAMC PASER Data Quality Control Guide (see page 56).

ii. Open the Export TAMC File to Council dialogue box (see image below):
   a. Select the TAMC menu from the main menu in Roadsoft.
   b. Select 5 - (Region Does This) Export TAMC File to Council (Individual County Files).
   
   ⇒ The Export TAMC File to Council dialogue box will open (see image below).
   
   NOTE: Do not use the standard Roadsoft .xml export procedure [which is File > Export layer to File (XML)] as the standard procedure is different from the TAMC export.

iii. Define your export variables in the Export TAMC File to Council dialogue box:
   a. Select the county you wish to export using the dropdown menu in the County field.
   b. Select the year of your export data using the dropdown menu in the Year field.
   c. Define your Export Path:
      • Select the folder button to the right of the Export Path field to browse your hard drive for the location where you want the export to be saved.
   d. Select Export.
   
   ⇒ This will export the data to the specified export path. The two export files created are [jurisdiction]CYYY.xml and [jurisdiction]CYYYGPSLogs.zip, where [jurisdiction] is the name of your agency and CYYY is your current collection year.
TAMC PASER Data Quality Control Guide

It is important to ensure that your condition data are accurate and comprehensive. It is easiest to check for errors in data at the local and regional levels before submitting data to the TAMC. Data quality control can be performed by entering a series of queries into the Filter Builder in Roadsoft. The following steps will guide you through this process and ensure that your agency has a complete set of condition data.

NOTE: Changes to data will only be sent to the TAMC if they were collected in the LDC or hand-entered in the region version of Roadsoft. Rating and changes in ratings should be initially entered in the LDC (not in Roadsoft) or data will not be reported. Data entered into Roadsoft (rather than being collected in the field) will result in missing data when the final collection file is sent to the TAMC.

Step 1: Determine the total length of your network

i. Open the Filter Builder either by clicking with your right mouse button on the map in the Map window and selecting Filter Builder, or by selecting the map toolbar’s Filter button and selecting Filter Builder.

ii. In the Filter Builder window, select Open to open the Load Saved Filter window.

iii. Select your saved TAMC network for the current collection year and select the OK button.

iv. Look at the bottom left of the Filter Builder window (see image below) and record the number of total Miles. You will use this number, along with additional criteria, to verify that your regional PASER data are correct.

continued on next page…
TAMC PASER Data Quality Control Guide, continued

Step 2: Verify that your agency’s TAMC data are accurate

When your miles are used in combination with these queries, these figures will help you verify the accuracy and completeness of the data you collected for TAMC. Using the filter criteria provided in the Table of Quality Control Queries, you will be able to detect missing or incorrect data by comparing the miles in a particular query against your original Miles (generated in Step 1, above).

Verify the accuracy of your agency’s TAMC data using the Table of Quality Control Queries. In the table:

- Check: lists the potential error for which the criteria checks
- Criteria: lists the criteria that need to be entered into the network and Filter Builder
- Expected Output: lists the segments/mileage that should display at the bottom left of the Filter Builder after adding the criteria
- Troubleshooting: lists the most likely reason for not getting the expected outcome and steps to take to fix/obtain any inaccurate/missing data.

Table of Quality Control Queries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Check</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Expected Output</th>
<th>Troubleshooting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>…that all mileage in TAMC network have been rated</td>
<td>TAMC Collection Year = current year</td>
<td>Number of miles recorded in Step 1</td>
<td>There are segments that did not receive a rating. Missing rating data must be collected and entered in the LDC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…if all submitted segments have a valid surface type</td>
<td>TAMC Collection Year = current year, Surface Type &lt;&gt; Undefined</td>
<td>Number of miles recorded in Step 1</td>
<td>There are undefined roads in the network. Validate these segment types using the LDC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>…if all submitted segments have a valid number of lanes</td>
<td>TAMC Collection Year = current year, Number of Lanes &gt; 0*, Number of Lanes &lt;= The highest number of lanes within your TAMC network**</td>
<td>Number of miles recorded in Step 1</td>
<td>There are segments that have an invalid number of lanes. Segments with invalid lanes should be reviewed and corrected in the LDC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

continued on next page...
Step 3: Spot-check number of lanes using the Roadsoft web integration tool

i. Create a legend for the number of lanes:

   **NOTE:** In Legend Builder, adjustments to the color, size, and style of features are made on the map to indicate different types of data. For example, an applied legend can help to differentiate between asphalt and gravel pavement types by applying colors respective to each type to road segments. For more information on using the legend builder see [Use the Legend Builder](#).

   a. Make the Road layer visible and active (see image below in 3.i.b).

   b. Select the **Show Legend** button in the toolbar if the legend window is not open (see image below, left).

   c. Select the **Open Legend Builder** button in the toolbar to open the Legend Builder window (see image below, right).

   d. Select desired legend field (i.e., **Lanes**) from the Legend Field dropdown menu (see image below).

   ![Legend Builder Window](image)

   ![Legend Builder Window](image)

   ![Legend Builder Window](image)

   continued on next page...
Step 3: Spot-check number of lanes using the Roadsoft web integration tool, cont’d.

- Select either **Unique Values** or **Range Values** using the radio buttons at the top of the *Legend Builder* window (see images below).

**NOTE:** **Unique Values** allows you to assign different visual properties to each value. For example, “Lanes=0” on the map could be assigned a unique color, such as color red, from all other lane values (see image below). **Range Values** allows you to assign visual properties for a range of values. For example, all roads that have two to four lanes could be assigned a unique color that is different from all other lane values (see image below).

- Select item in the *Items* list to define its properties (see image above, right).
- Edit the properties in the *Item Properties* box until you are satisfied with the applied legend in the *Preview* box (see image below).

(continued on next page...)

![Image](image-url)
Step 3: Spot-check number of lanes using the Roadsoft web integration tool, cont’d.

h. Repeat TAMC PASER Data Quality Control Guide Steps 3.i.f and 3.i.g (above) for all items in the Items list.

i. Select **Apply** when all items in the Items list have been edited (see figure above).

   ⇒ The legend is now applied (see figure below).

ii. Select a random road segment.

iii. Right-click on the map.

iv. Select **Google/Bing Integration** from the dropdown menu.

v. Select **Open Location in Bing Maps** or **Open Location in Google Maps** (see figure below).

   ⇒ A map view of the location will open (see figure below).

vi. Select the street view.

vii. Verify the correct number of lanes is assigned to the segment.

viii. Repeat TAMC PASER Data Quality Control Guide Steps 3.i to 3.vii (above) for additional segments.
Step 10: Upload planning organization’s export files to the CSS’ Investment Reporting Tool

The CSS collects road condition data for Public Act 499 reporting purposes. Therefore, planning organizations—either regional or metropolitan—submit Roadsoft export files containing condition data to the CSS on behalf of agencies within their jurisdiction.

i. Open your web browser (e.g., Microsoft Edge, Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome) and go to:

www.michigan.gov/mic/tamc

ii. Select **Reporting Hub** (see image above).

iii. Log in using your user ID and password (see image below).

iv. In the **Reporting Hub**, select **MDOT TAMC – Investment Reporting Tool**, review the **Terms & Conditions**, and select **Acknowledge/Agree**.
v. Select **PASER Ratings** from the **+Add** dropdown menu.

vi. Upload the Roadsoft [jurisdictionCYYY].xml and [jurisdictionCYYY]GPSLogs.zip export files for each agency in your region:

   a. Select your region from the **Region** field.
   
   b. Select **Choose File** in the **PASER Ratings File** section to navigate to and upload your PASER data .xml file.
   
   c. Optional: Enter any pertinent comments in the **Comment** box.
   
   d. Select **Choose File** in the **GPS Log File** section to navigate to and upload your PASER data GPS log file.
   
   e. Optional: Enter any pertinent comments in the **Comment** box.
   
   f. Select **Validate** to submit the data to the TAMC.
APPENDIXES
# APPENDIX A – PASER MICHIGAN-SPECIFIC CHEAT SHEET

## General Rating Tips

1. Rate surface distress, not ride quality. Be aware of cracks in the wheel path; they can be hard to see and do not affect the ride.
2. Disregard the shoulder. Rate only the drivable pavement, edge line to edge line.
3. Do not ignore reflective cracks. Rate by assessing the type of crack (e.g., transverse, longitudinal, alligator).
4. Rate the current surface condition. If construction is in progress (i.e., work is afoot) but you are driving on the old surface, rate the new surface. Some badly rutted roadways are not construction in progress.
5. Rate the lane with the worst condition when lanes have differing conditions. For variable surface types, rate the worst lane and select its future fate.
6. Rate what you see, not what distresses you think might happen in the future.
7. Rate roads with the same scrupulousness regardless of their use, ownership, or functional class.

## PASER MICHIGAN - SPECIFIC CHEAT SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PASER MICHIGAN - SPECIFIC CHEAT SHEET</th>
<th>Modified for Michigan TMC Data Collection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asphalt 10</strong></td>
<td><strong>Asphalt 9</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New construction (&lt; 1 year old)</td>
<td>Like new condition (&gt; 1 year old)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No defects</td>
<td>No defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong> Proactive Preventive Maintenance (PPM)</td>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong> a crack and shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asphalt 7</strong></td>
<td><strong>Asphalt 6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse cracks: &gt;10' apart</td>
<td>Transverse cracks: &lt;10' apart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack erosion: none or little</td>
<td>Block cracking: 6’-10’ (long, stable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface raveling: none or little</td>
<td>Surface raveling: slight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patches: none or few in excellent</td>
<td>Patches: few in good condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>condition</td>
<td>Polishing: slight, moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong> Maintain with crack seal, fog seal</td>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong> Maintain with sealcoat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse cracks: &lt;1’ blocks</td>
<td>Block cracking: severe (like alligator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel-path cracking (longitudinal)</td>
<td>Alligator cracking: initial, &lt;25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutting: ½” - 1” deep</td>
<td>Rutting: 1” - 2” deep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal cracks: slight erosion</td>
<td>Transverse cracks: extensive erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface raveling: severe</td>
<td>Longitudinal cracks: extensive erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patches: fair/poor condition</td>
<td>Patches: occasional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong> Structural overlay &gt; 2”</td>
<td><strong>Possible Action:</strong> Structural overlay &gt; 2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underseal</td>
<td>Milling to extend overlay life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete PASER</td>
<td>Concrete 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good</strong></td>
<td>New construction (&lt;1 year old)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recent reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Action: None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fair</strong></td>
<td>Full-depth repairs: excellent condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joints: some open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cracks: at manholes – some Settlement/heaves: isolated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sealing: minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pop outs: could be extensive but sound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Action: Seal open joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spot repair surface defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poor</strong></td>
<td>Joint/crack spalling: open 1” on several slabs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joint/crack faulting: up to 1/2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transverse or meander cracks: multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cracks: at corners – missing pieces or patches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pavement blowups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spalling: &gt; 50% surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sealing: &gt; 50% surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Polishing: &gt; 50% surface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Concrete 6**
- Transverse joints: open 1/8”
- Longitudinal joints: open 1/8”
- Transverse & meander cracks: open 1/8”
- Cracks: at corners – several, well-sealed/tight
- Shallow reinforcement: cracking – first signs
- Sealing: < 25% surface
- Possible Action: Seal open joints and cracks
- Overlap surface scaling areas

**Concrete 5**
- Joint/crack spalling: first signs
- Joint crack faulting: up to 1/4”
- Cracks: at corners – multiple, with broken pieces
- Shallow reinforcement: spalling: 25% to 50% surface
- Polishing: 25% to 50% surface
- Possible Action: Some partial depth joint repairs or patching may be needed

**Concrete 3**
- Joint, transverse, and meander cracks: less than 1”
- Joint/crack faulting: up to 1”
- D-cracking: evident
- Patches: extensive, fair to poor condition
- Possible Action: Extensive full depth repairs
- Some full slab replacements

**Concrete 2**
- Joints: failed
- Settlement/heaves: extensive, severe
- Spalling of slab cracks: extensive, severe
- Patches: extensive, failed condition
- Possible Action: Recycle or rebuild pavement

**Concrete 1**
- Pavement integrity: total loss
- Potholes extensive
- Restricted speeds
- Possible Action: Total reconstruction

---

**Contact Information**
- Roadsoft & LDC Technical Support: 800-877-2102
- TAMC Help Desk: 517-235-3741
- CSS-TAMC@michigan.gov
- TAMC Website: michigan.gov/tamc

---

**Framework Issues**
- 517-235-3741, ask for the TAMC Help Desk
- PASER Data Submission via the CSS IRT Website
  - https://migasmp.michigan.gov

---
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# Michigan Sealcoat Rating Guide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Condition/Defects</th>
<th>Remedy/Action</th>
<th>Typical Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>New construction</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&lt; 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Like new</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>First signs of distress</td>
<td>Routine maintenance</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge distress limited</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Minor distress</td>
<td>Minor asphalt or spray-injection patching Possible single-application sealcoat</td>
<td>4 to 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge distress &lt;5%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane distress &lt;5%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raveling &lt;5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Moderate distress</td>
<td>Moderate asphalt or spray-injection patching Single-application sealcoat</td>
<td>5 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge distress &lt;10%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane distress &lt;10%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raveling &lt;10%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Distressed</td>
<td>Moderate asphalt or spray-injection patching Single-application sealcoat With up to 50% double-application sealcoat</td>
<td>6 to 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge distress &lt;20%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane distress &lt;20%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raveling &lt;20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Extensive distress, visible base</td>
<td>Asphalt or spray-injection patching and double-application sealcoat</td>
<td>7 to 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% of surface area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Edge distress &lt;50%, OR</td>
<td>Wedge and/or asphalt or spray-injection patching and double- or triple-application sealcoat Possible crush-and-shape first</td>
<td>8 to 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lane distress &lt;50%, OR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raveling &lt;2”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Extensive distress, Type B</td>
<td>Reconstruct by crush-and-shape prior to new sealcoat surface, possible return to gravel</td>
<td>&gt;9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% of surface area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extensive distress, visible base</td>
<td>Reconstruction by crush-and-shape prior to new sealcoat surface, or return to gravel</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;50% of surface area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: To be used for Michigan TAMC data collection on sealcoat pavements instead of PAVR Sealcoat Manual

©2023 Center for Technology & Training Prepared by Center for Technology & Training—Michigan Technological University on behalf of the Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council
**Appendix C - IBR System™ Field Guide**

**Quick Guide**

**Surface Width**

- **Good**: 22 ft or more (6.7 m)
- **Fair**: 16 to 21 ft (4.9 to 6.4 m)
- **Poor**: 15 ft or less (4.6 m)

1. Include any shoulder in the width that is suitable for travel
2. Be aware of trees and slopes that may influence your width perception
3. Orientate yourself by physically measuring the width until you are comfortable making accurate estimates from your vehicle

**Drainage Adequacy**

- **Good**: X is 2 ft or more (61 cm)
  - No secondary ditches (Y) greater than 6" tall present
- **Fair**: X is 0.5 to <2 ft (15 to <61 cm)
  - OR X is 2 ft or more AND secondary ditches present
- **Poor**: X is <0.5 ft (15 cm)
  - Secondary ditches may or may not be present

1. Note whether driveway culverts are present; if they are, then drainage is most likely good or fair
2. Be aware of conditions that would not warrant ditching (i.e., tops of hills) that may influence your perception of ditches
3. Measure the actual ditch depth until you are comfortable estimating accurately from your vehicle
4. Be aware of tall grass hiding ditches
Structural Adequacy

**Good**

>7 in of good gravel (18 cm)

**Fair**

4-7 in of good gravel (10 to 18 cm)

**Poor**

<4 in of good gravel (10 cm)

---

**Rating Lookup Chart**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Drain</th>
<th>Struc</th>
<th>IBR #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Segment is < 1 year old*

---

Look into what is causing structural problems; more gravel is not a remedy for bad cross-slope drainage.

If you do not know the segment's history, ask someone who does; otherwise, rate during thaw, wet, and/or dry periods to determine when the road is impassable and when potholes are present.
APPENDIX D – MICHIGAN’S REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS

Map Legend
1. Southeast Michigan Council of Governments
2. Region 2 Planning Commission
3. Southcentral Michigan Planning Council
4. Southwestern Michigan Commission
5. GSL Region V Planning Commission
6. Tri-County Regional Planning Commission
7. East Central Michigan Planning & Development Region
8. West Michigan Regional Planning Commission
10. Northwest Michigan Council of Governments
11. Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning and Development Commission
12. Central Upper Peninsula Regional Planning and Development Commission
13. Western Upper Peninsula Planning and Development Regional Commission
14. West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission

*RPOs/MPCs responsible for PASER collection in Region 3 in 2013:
Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study (KATS) for Barry, Kalamazoo, St. Joseph, & Branch Counties
Battle Creek Area Transportation Study (BCATS) for Calhoun County
APPENDIX E – MICHIGAN’S METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS
APPENDIX F – TAMC’S POLICY FOR COLLECTION OF ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION DATA

Policy for Collection of Roadway Surface Condition Data

This policy was adopted by the Transportation Asset Management Council (TAMC) and is effective on December 7, 2022.

Introduction:
The TAMC was established to expand the practice of asset management statewide to enhance the productivity of investing in Michigan’s roads and bridges. Part of the TAMC’s mission is to collect physical inventory and condition data on all roads and bridges in Michigan. This document describes the policy and procedures for collecting the physical inventory and surface condition data of paved and unpaved roads and streets owned by Public Act 51 agencies or the Federal Aid (FA) eligible and Non-Federal Aid (NFA) eligible within Michigan. The TAMC has a TAMC Asset Management Coordinator who is responsible for the support and operation of the TAMC activities. Questions relating to the application of this policy should be referred to the TAMC Coordinator.

According to Act 51 (P.A. 499 2002, P.A. 199 2007, P.A. 325 of 2018), each Local Road Agency and the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) shall annually report to the TAMC the mileage and condition of the road and bridge system under their jurisdiction. Additionally, procedures and requirements developed and presented by the TAMC shall, at a minimum, include the areas of training, data storage and collection, reporting, development of a multi-year program, budgeting and funding, and other issues related to asset management.

The TAMC has given the responsibility of managing the TAMC work program to the Regional Planning Organizations (RPO)/Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO). The RPO/MPOs have TAMC work activities included in their annual work programs and have funds allocated from the TAMC for those activities. The RPO/MPO will have to allocate those funds among eligible work activities in order to best complete the priorities of the TAMC. Therefore, the RPO/MPO may need to limit its authorizations for reimbursable work programs.

This policy applies to the collection of roadway surface condition data on:
- FA eligible network of public roads and streets using the PASER,
- Non-Federal aid (NFA) eligible network of public roads and streets using the PASER system, and
- Unpaved roads and streets on either the FA or the NFA network using the Inventory Based Rating™ (IBR) system.

Rating Teams:
NOTE: Refer to the PASER Training/Certification Requirements section of this policy for training and certification requirements.

Daily data collection logs MUST be completed which contain rating team members’ or observers’ names, agencies, mileage, rating dates, and rating times. Although the TAMC supports interest by others in the data collection process, observers will not be reimbursed by the TAMC for their time.

Federal Aid Eligible Road Rating Teams:
The FA rating teams shall consist of a minimum of two (2) people, each representing different agencies, which must include one (1) member/representative of the RPO/MPO and one (1) member/representative of the Act 51 road agency being rated (County, City, Village, MDOT). The Act 51 road agency may select a designee from within their agency to represent them during collection which can include an
RPO/MPO staff or other individual outside their origination. A third member of the rating team is permissible to aid in driving and may be a representative of the Act 51 road agency or RPO/MPO however, they must meet the Training/Certification requirements in order to be reimbursed with TAMC funds through the RPO/MPO for their effort. All rating team members with the exception of a non-rating driver must meet the Training/Certification requirements in this policy. The TAMC supports interest by others to be present as observers during the rating process, however, observers will not be reimbursed by the TAMC for their time.

Non-Federal Aid Eligible Rating Teams

a. IF TAMC reimbursement for NFA data collection has not been approved, but the agency would like condition data included in TAMC’s statewide database:

   The Act 51 road agency may establish their own collection schedule and collect data on their NFA network.

   The rating team shall consist of a minimum of one rater; one (1) member/representative of the Act 51 road agency who meets the Training/Certification requirements or their designee.

   The TAMC encourages all rating team participants to follow their agency’s safety procedures and practices.

b. IF TAMC reimbursement is being requested:

   Road agencies must receive authorization prior to gathering any data from the RPO/MPO for reimbursement for NFA data collection.

   Road agencies must submit a written request for reimbursement; the request should include the miles of NFA rated and the total estimated cost (actual costs claimed must not exceed the estimated costs) for the data gathering, trained/certified team members’ time, and vehicle use. This request must also clarify which fiscal year the data collection and reimbursement will take place. It is required that the RPO/MPO make a formal call for interest for NFA data collection reimbursements to their respective Act 51 agencies annually, and that requests by Act 51 agencies are submitted to their respective RPO/MPO by October 1.

   The RPO/MPO decision on what requests for reimbursement are approved will consider:
   • available budget,
   • absence or age of the NFA data that will be collected,
   • last year of reimbursement to the road agency for that NFA data set. No more frequently than once every three (3) years,
   • rating team members’ Training/Certification status
   • if there is time available within the data collection season.

   The rating team shall consist of a minimum of two (2) people: one (1) member/representative of the Act 51 road agency who meets the Training/Certification requirements as a rater, and one (1) additional staff member to drive the vehicle.

   All rating team members with the exception of a non-rating driver must meet the Training/Certification requirements in this policy. Rating team members must meet the Training/Certification requirements in order to be reimbursed with TAMC funds through the RPO/MPO.
for their effort. The TAMC supports interest by others to be present as observers during the rating process, however, observers will not be reimbursed by the TAMC for their time.

The TAMC encourages all rating team participants to follow their agency’s safety procedures and practices.

PASER Training/Certification Requirements:

To be eligible to rate road conditions for TAMC a rating team member must:

- Attended the entire PASER training series at least once in one of the previous three years. (Example a rating team member in 2023 needed to complete PASER training in either 2020, 2022, 2021, or 2020).
- OR Meet eligibility requirements and successfully pass the PASER certification exam at least once in the last three years.
- New raters (never attended PASER training before) MUST attend the PASER training series prior to collecting data.
- New raters (never attended IBR training before) MUST attend the IBR training prior to collecting data.
- RPO/MPO representatives are required to have at least one member of staff participate in PASER and IBR training events in the current collection year. RPO/MPO representatives are critical to the success of the PASER data collection effort, so it is important for them to continue to promote and support the program by attending training events.

Certification Eligibility Requirements:

To be considered a candidate to take the PASER Certification exam a rater must meet the following criteria.

- Any raters who have attended PASER training six (6) or more years as verified through the Center for Technology & Training (CTT) records and rated a portion of their roads during TAMC collection for a like number of years.
- Licenses professional civil engineers who have attended PASER training three (3) or more years as verified through the Center for Technology & Training (CTT) records and rated a portion of their roads during TAMC collection for a like number of years.

Certification Exam:

- The written certification exam will be administered at the on-site sessions of PASER training to eligible candidates.
- Raters must pass the written certification exam during the on-site training sessions. The passing score is 70% correct or will be adjusted using the normal distribution (bell curve) of the scores depending on the difficulty of the exam questions at the discretion of CTT staff.
- Raters who do not pass the certification exam will be able to attend another on-site PASER training session and retake the exam as many times in one year as space and CTT administration allows.
- The TAMC will hold exam results and exam questions as documents that are not open to the public without a freedom of information act request to prohibit development of files of
exam questions that can be used to memorize facts rather than learning concepts.

- There is no current certification exam for IRR (unpaved road) data collection.

Certification Period:
Raters that qualify and pass the certification exam will be issued a certificate from CTT indicating the date the exam was passed.

MDOT Region Representative Responsibilities

NOTE: Each MDOT Region must designate a MDOT Region Representative to be a contact source for the MDOT Region’s respective RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator(s).

- Ensuring that a trained and/or certified MDOT rater or MDOT designee participates on the rating team for the annual FA data collection.
- Ensuring non-MDOT members of rating team are provided with State of Michigan travel and reimbursement rate schedules at the start of the rating season.

RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator Responsibilities

NOTE: Each RPO/MPO must designate a RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator to be a contact source for the TAMC.

- Establishing the data collection schedule and coordinating the dates for FA road rating with the respective rating teams.

NOTE: The TAMC outlines policies for the data collection cycle schedule as well as first and last days of annual data collection in the Data Collection section.

- Ensuring the collection teams have access to the computer equipment and GPS devices needed for data collection.

- Ensuring the collection teams have access to vehicle capable of being used for data collection. In the event the collection teams are using vehicles owned by the Act 51 road agency, confirmation of insurance coverage of all passengers is required. Act 51 road agency may include equipment rental rates as defined in MDOT’s Schedule C as associated costs for data collection. In the event the collection teams do not have access to a vehicle capable of being used for data collection, the RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator will coordinate a vehicle rental through the State of Michigan vehicle use program with the assistance of MDOT TAMC Support Staff and administer driver agreements and overnight parking documentation.

- Ensuring verifying the rating team has the required number of trained and/or certified raters from the Act 51 road agency(ies) collecting the road surface condition data (see the Rating Teams and the PASER Training/Certification Requirements sections of this policy for more information).

- Ensuring daily data collection logs which MUST contain team members or observers’ names and agency, vehicle, vehicle mileage traveled, rating dates and time are accurately completed for each day of reimbursable data collection.

- Verifying checking the miles of road surface condition data collected.

- Performing quality control checks of the data collected.

NOTE: The RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator MUST review the collected data—looking for missing entries (zeros), valid surface type, missing surface type, valid number of lane, missing lane information, and large increases/decreases in PASER scores for road segments that had no treatments—before sending it to the Center for Shared Solutions (CSS).
Ensuring that the completed PASER data export file is the correct file type and submitting the PASER data export file to the CSS (see the Data Submission/Standards section of this policy for more information).

Submitting RPO/MPO invoices for reimbursement to the TAMC Asset Management Coordinator monthly or quarterly for all expenses related to training, data collection efforts, quality control, and data submission activities. Including copies of daily collection logs and any other backup information as attachments to the invoice.

Data Collection
- Unless otherwise stated by the TAMC, the expectation is to collect PASER data on no less than half (or no less than 50%) of the FA eligible network within each RPO/MPO boundary.
- The expectation will be communicated through the RPO/MPOs.
- NFA data collection is encouraged with or without TAMC reimbursement.
- Each rating team must complete the following logs when being reimbursed for their work:
  - Daily data collection logs which MUST contain team members or observers’ names and agency, vehicle, vehicle mileage traveled, rating dates and time are accurately completed for each day of reimbursable data collection.
  - Prepare a list that includes rater’s names and agencies, as well as the certification that all raters were appropriately trained/certified.
- Data collection on paved roads must be consistent with the current TAMC Data Collection Manual, the Sealcoat Revised Rating Guide for Michigan, and, when appropriate, the Asphalt, Concrete, and Sealcoat PASER Manuals (accessible at Center for Technology & Training website).
- Data collection on unpaved roads and streets must be consistent with the current IBR training and the IBR Field Guide (accessible at Center for Technology & Training website).
- The use of the Roadsof Laptop Data Collector (LDC) is required.
- The first day for data collection shall be the first Monday of April, the last day for data collection shall be the first Friday of December, weather permitting.

Data Submission/Standards
- FA/NFA data collected is to be submitted to the CSS by the RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator, who will submit the data following quality assurance and quality control guidelines.
- The export file from Roadsoft MUST be in a shapefile format; exports containing text files are not accepted. See the current TAMC Data Collection Manual (accessible at Center for Technology & Training website) for additional information.
- The deadline for the RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator to submit the data to the CSS is the second Friday of December.

Reimbursement
Note: Act 51 road agencies must receive prior authorization from the RPO/MPO for reimbursement for NFA data collection. Please refer to the earlier section on NFA Rating Teams.  b. If TAMC reimbursement is being requested section.

The TAMC has given the responsibility of managing portions of the TAMC work program to the RPO/MPOs. The RPO/MPOs have TAMC work activities included in their annual work programs and have funds allocated from the TAMC for those activities. The RPO/MPO will have to allocate those funds among eligible work activities in order to best complete the priorities of the TAMC. Therefore, the RPO/MPO may need to limit its authorizations for reimbursements in order to manage its work programs and will work with its members to coordinate activities.
• Rating team members who represent MDOT will be reimbursed by the TAMC via annual approved budget for PASER review.
• Rating team members who represent the RPO/MPO will be reimbursed via annual project authorization with the TAMC.
• Rating team members who represent Act 51 (county, city, or village) road agencies will be reimbursed, for FA data collection and, with prior authorization, for NFA data collection activities, and for expenses directly related to the data collection effort (i.e., time, travel, meals, vehicle) via annual RPO/MPO project authorization with the TAMC. The TAMC will not directly reimburse Act 51 road agencies. Act 51 road agencies shall submit invoices and supporting information to the RPO/MPO for costs associated with PASER data collection that has been authorized by the RPO/MPO. The RPO/MPO will request payment from MDOT and subsequently reimburse the road agency following receipt of payment from MDOT.
• The RPO/MPO Regional Coordinator will submit invoices for reimbursement to the TAMC Asset Management Coordinator monthly or quarterly for all expenses related to training, data collection efforts, quality control, any Act 51 road agency’s associated cost invoice(s) detailing expenses directly related to data collection (i.e., time, travel and/or meal reimbursements), and data submission activities. Time, travel and/or meal reimbursements will be processed according to State of Michigan travel and meal rates. Copies of daily collection logs, vehicle rental contracts, rental rates and any other backup information will be included as attachments to the invoice.

If you have any questions relating to this policy, please contact:

TAMC Asset Management Coordinator
Michigan Department of Transportation
P.O. Box 30050, 425 W. Ottawa Street
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 230-8192
www.michigan.gov/tamc
APPENDIX G – FRAMEWORK CORRECTION REQUESTS

If an agency needs to request a correction to their base map, please refer to Corrections for the Framework Map earlier in this manual.

To create and submit a framework map correction request:

i. Zoom to the desired segment(s) requiring correction(s) using the Roadsoft zoom tools.

Select **Tools** from the main menu.

Select **Create Framework Map Correction Request**.

⇒ The **Framework Map Correction Request** window (see image below) will open.

Enter a clear and easily identifiable title for the correction request in the **Title** field.

Enter a clear and thorough description for the correction request in the **Description** field (see image above).

**NOTE:** Submission to MDOT includes the Title and the Description in the correction request.

Optional: Enter agency-specific comments in the **Personal Notes** field (see image above).

**NOTE:** Submission to MDOT does not include the Personal Notes in the correction request.
Select the OK button.

⇒ A new correction request has now been created; it is not yet submitted.

Select Tools from the main menu.

Select Manage/Submit Framework Map Correction Requests…

Select the desired framework correction request from the list.

⇒ The Submit FW Correction window (see image below) will open.

![Submit FW Correction window](image)

Enter your name, agency, and e-mail in the First Name, Last Name, Agency, and E-mail fields respectively.

Select the Submit button.

⇒ This will submit your new correction request via e-mail to MDOT. An example of the form that the MDOT will receive is shown below.

If you have any questions or issues creating and submitting your framework map correction request, please refer to the Roadsoft Manual’s Create Framework Map Correction Request help documentation (under Roadsoft > Roads > Framework Map Correction Request). Or, please call Roadsoft technical support at (906) 487-2102.
Framework Correction Request on FW17 - 01/27/2020

Requester: Test User, Test Agency, test@mtu.edu
Title: Ament St

Latitude: 42.8896487175777  Longitude: -84.182845488298

Description:
Ament St should be named Amen St
This form is an example; please obtain appropriate Time Expense logs from your PO.

### TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

#### 2023 DATA COLLECTION - ROAD INVENTORY LOG

| CREW: Include members name and PASER | Date: |
| Training Date or Certification Exam Date | Hours/Minutes Worked: |
| MDOT Rep - | |
| Region/MPO Rep - | |
| County Rep - | |
| City/Village Rep - | |

Please check the following work type:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE WORK:</th>
<th>FIELD WORK:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

GEOGRAPHIC AREA: Please insert region, county, township, city, etc.

### MILEAGE LOG:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEHICLE:</th>
<th>General Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEGIN MILE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END MILE:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL MILES OF FEDERAL AID ELIGIBLE ROADS INVENTORIED: ________________ DATA COLLECTION INCLUDES __________ UNPAVED ROADS: YES___ NO___

TOTAL MILES OF NON-FEDERAL AID ELIGIBLE ROADS INVENTORIED: ________________ DATA COLLECTION INCLUDES __________ UNPAVED ROADS: YES___ NO___

Please fill out this form each day you perform Asset Management tasks. Submit copies with monthly or quarterly invoice for Asset Management program. For questions, call 517-335-3741 or CSS-TAMC@michigan.gov.
# APPENDIX I - MDOT TRAVEL REFERENCE CARD

## TRAVEL RATES EFFECTIVE OCT. 1, 2022

### In-State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meals and Lodging</th>
<th>Breakfast</th>
<th>Lunch</th>
<th>Dinner</th>
<th>Total Per Diem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast meals</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast meals</td>
<td>$7.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>$9.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinner</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Michigan Select Cities

- Ann Arbor, Kalamazoo, Battle Creek, and Grand Rapids: $13.50 per diem
- Flint, Saginaw, and Bay City: $13.75 per diem
- Bay City, Alpena, and Alcona Counties: $15.75 per diem
- Sandusky, Port Huron, Saginaw, and Bay City: $16.00 per diem
- Traverse City: $18.00 per diem

### Out-of-State

- Breakfast: $11.75
- Lunch: $10.00
- Dinner: $11.75

### Travel by Air or Train

**TRAVEL UNIT STAFF**

- Jennifer Dingman, Manager
  - Dingman@MDOT.michigan.gov
- Kelly Bean, Travel Auditor - 517-333-3612
- Jamie Lamba, Travel Auditor - 517-333-7161

**CONLIN/FROST TRAVEL**

- Make reservations online at: www.conlintravelhub.com
- CALL TOLL FREE at 1-800-454-5718

**LODGING**

- Lodging must be booked through Conlin Travel. This will provide you with the maximum reimbursement. The cost of the room will be determined by the rate you select. You will receive a confirmation number. Please keep a copy of your confirmation number.
- LODGING CANCELLATION: At least 24 hours notice is required to cancel your reservation. If you choose to cancel your reservation, it will be charged at the rate you choose.
- LODGING RESTRICTIONS: Lodging restrictions apply for all rooms and rates. Please check with Conlin Travel for more information.

### Mileage Rates

- Effective Oct. 1, 2022: $0.54
- Effective July 1, 2023: $0.56

**MDOT Travel Reference Card**

[Image of the MDOT Travel Reference Card]

---

*For travel on or after Oct. 1, 2022, the above rates will be used.*
MEALS

To qualify for meal reimbursement, you must be working more than 25 miles from your home and official work station. Individual meal allowance for office and Schedule I Field employees in travel status will be based on the following schedule: NOTE: Edits exist in system to determine whether the departure and return times meet the Statewide Travel Regulation Guidelines for the meal type.

Tips must be customary and reasonable and cannot exceed 20 percent of the meal cost. The meal total (tip and meal cost combined) cannot exceed the maximum published rate per meal.

**Breakfast:** Travel commences prior to 6 a.m. (06:00) and extends beyond 8:30 a.m. (08:30)

**Lunch:** Travel commences prior to 11:30 a.m. (11:30) and extends beyond 2 p.m. (14:00)

**Dinner:** Travel commences prior to 5:30 p.m. (17:30) and extends beyond 8:00 p.m. (20:00)

**Midnight Lunch** At lunch rate, if work extends beyond midnight (24:00)

**Taxability and Select City are determined by SIGMA.
You must answer Yes/No in the “Overnight” field then choose the meal type, such as “lunch.”**

**Day trip meals are taxable; overnight trip meals are non-taxable.**

Information in this reference card also may be found on MDOOT Travel Home Page at:
http://stateofmichigan.sharepoint.com/teams/insidemdotwork/Pages/travel.aspx

Employee Resources
Travel Rates and Reimbursement
Travel Reimbursement Reference Card

Other information available at this site includes State Travel Regulations, Policies.

MILEAGE

**Standard Mileage Rate** – The reimbursable amount per mile for use of private vehicle, in lieu of an allowable state vehicle.

**Premium Mileage Rate** – The reimbursable amount per mile for use of an approved private vehicle when no state vehicle is available. If eligible for the premium mileage rate, you must state “No state vehicle available” in the comment area of your voucher.

**Regulation Miles (REG)** – Map-measured miles by the most direct route using reasonable improved and maintained roads and opened to through-traffic.

**Vicinity Miles (VIC)** – Miles driven at the general location of the work site, necessary for conduct of official business including to and from meeting.

When Mileage Commences/Ends at Home – Where assignments start and/or terminate at the employee’s home, reimbursement will be based from the home to the field assignment and return; but the mileage charge cannot exceed mileage that has been assigned and terminated at the employee’s official work station.

Mileage is taxable when driving to or from a “permanent work location” when beginning or ending at your home.

LODGING

To qualify for lodging reimbursement, you must be working more than 25 miles from your home and official work station.

**Lodging at a Conference Hotel** – If you will be staying at a hotel that is hosting the conference you are attending, whether in-state or out-of-state, it is not necessary to book through Conlin Travel. You must attach conference literature which lists the Conference Hotel when you submit your voucher.

**Out-of-State Lodging at Non-Conference Hotel** – Should be booked through Conlin Travel prior to trip.

In-State Lodging at Non-Conference Hotel – If lodging rate exceeds the state rate (currently $85 plus taxes per night), to be fully reimbursed you must book through Conlin Travel.

SUBMITTING YOUR VOUCHER

Use the MILogin portal to access the SIGMA Employee Self-Service application to submit travel expenses electronically.

Enter your reimbursable expenses:
1. Expenses should be submitted at the end of each pay period.
2. Once in SIGMA Employee Self-Service, click on the Travel and Expense tab at the top of the page.
3. Click on “Create New Expense Report” (left side, mid-page).
4. For items other than travel (i.e., safety boots, CDL license, etc.) select “No Travel” from the Travel Type drop-down and use a stand-alone voucher.
5. General Information Tab - Enter Trip Name, Purpose of Trip, Travel Start/End Date and Travel Type (In-State, Out-of-State, No Travel). If traveling to more than one location check the “Multi-Segment Trip” box. If overnight travel then check the “Overnight at a Temporary Work Location” box, then click “Save”.
6. Trip Detail Tab - Select “Out of Pocket” from the Expense Payment Method drop-down. Enter the Transaction Date, Start Date, End Date. Select Expense Type from the drop-down menu and dollar amount (up to max allowed for meals) under Actual Expenses. For meals, you must enter a start and end time (in military time) so the system knows if you are eligible for the meal you are claiming.
7. When all Trip Detail lines are entered, go to the General Information Tab and click the “Generate Accounting Lines” button to have your default accounting auto-populate for each line entered. Go to the Accounting Tab for each line item entered to enter Location, Program, etc. coding, if applicable.
8. When finished, scan all required receipts, save them to your desktop and attach them to the voucher by clicking on the browser link next to the “Attach a Quote” paperclip. Once you have confirmed the receipts are attached, click the “Submit Report” button on the General Information tab.

Voucher Approval and Payment:
1. After reviewed and approved by your supervisor, Travel Audit staff will review and approve your voucher.
2. Your reimbursement will be included in your paycheck.
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